IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE WESTERN DIVISION 95 MAY 24 PM 3: 10

LARRY STEVE MELTON, LARRY S. MELTON, R & J of TENNESSEE, INC.,	CLERK, U.O. CIST, CT. W.D. OF TN, WISAPHIS)
Plaintiffs,)
VS.) Civ. No. <u>02-1152-B/P</u>
BANK OF LEXINGTON branch of the BANK OF FRIENDSHIP, et al.,)))
Defendants.	,

ORDER DENYING WITHOUT PREJUDICE PLAINTIFF LARRY S. MELTON'S MOTION FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER

Before the court is plaintiff Larry S. Melton's Motion for Protective Order, filed April 28, 2005 (dkt #590). Responses were filed on May 5, 2005 by defendant Daniel J. Perky; May 9, 2005 by defendant City of Lexington; May 10, 2005 by defendants Benny Fesmire and B&H Investments, Inc.; May 16, 2005 by defendant Bank of Friendship; and May 18, 2005 by defendants Frankie Stanfill, Kevin Carter and the law firm of Milam, Carter, and Stanfill. The motion was referred to the Magistrate Judge for determination.

In the motion, Melton contends that he has already been deposed at length on six prior occasions, and that the defendants intend to further depose him "for at least seven additional days." Plaintiff asks that the court enter a protective order to allow the

This document entered on the docket sheet in compliance with Rule 58 and/or 79(a) FRCP on 5-25-05

defendants to depose him for only one more day, and that "[i]f further examination of the Plaintiff Larry S. Melton is warranted after the examination of all the defendants, it is within the discretion of the Court to permit it then." (Plaintiff's Memo. in Support at 6).

In their responses, the defendants argue that (1) plaintiff has failed to consult with opposing counsel prior to filing his motion, as required by this court's local rules and Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(c); (2) the seven days in June referenced in plaintiff's motion also includes dates that the other parties will be deposed; and (3) the plaintiff's deposition has required extra time due to the extensive allegations in this lawsuit.

Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 30(d)(2) provides that a deposition is limited to one day of seven hours, unless otherwise authorized by the court or stipulated by the parties. However, "the court must allow additional time consistent with Rule 26(b)(2) if needed for a fair examination of the deponent or if the deponent or another person, or other circumstance, impedes or delays the examination." Fed. R. Civ. P. 30(d)(2). Rule 26(c) allows a court, for good cause shown, to impose limits on discovery to protect a party from annoyance, embarrassment, oppression, or undue burden and expense.

This same rule, however, also requires the moving party to consult in good faith with opposing counsel in an effort to resolve the dispute without court action. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(c).

Consultation is also required by the local rules. <u>See</u> LR 7.2(a)(1)(B). Consultation is particularly important with this motion, since it appears that plaintiff may have mistakenly believed that the defendants want to depose him for seven more days. Therefore, the motion is DENIED without prejudice.

The court notes, however, that pursuant to Rule 30(d)(2), the defendants may not depose Melton for more than seven hours unless authorized by the court or stipulated by the parties. Although the court agrees that this case involves numerous parties and allegations, and it appears that at least two attorneys have not yet had an opportunity to depose the plaintiff, the defendants have not demonstrated in their responses why a fair examination of the plaintiff could not be completed with one more full day of deposition. To the extent the defendants wish to depose the plaintiff in excess of seven hours, they must file a motion and obtain court authorization, or may do so through stipulation. Without such court authorization or stipulation, the defendants may only depose the plaintiff for an additional seven hours.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

TU M. PHAM

United States Magistrate Judge

Date



Notice of Distribution

This notice confirms a copy of the document docketed as number 583 in case 1:02-CV-01152 was distributed by fax, mail, or direct printing on May 25, 2005 to the parties listed.

John T. Downing Federal Deposit Ins. Corp. 550 17th Street NW Washington, DC 20429

Daniel D. Warlick LAW OFFICE OF DAN WARLICK 611 Commerce Street Ste. 2712 Nashville, TN 37203

William S Walton MILLER & MARTIN 1200 One Nashville Place 150 Fourth Ave. North Nashville, TN 37219--243

John D. Stevens RAINEY KIZER REVIERE & BELL 209 East Main Street P. O. Box 1147 Jackson, TN 38302--114

Jerry E. Mitchell THOMASON HENDRIX HARVEY JOHNSON & MITCHELL 40 S. Main St. Ste. 2900 Memphis, TN 38103--552

Bradley G. Kirk CARTER STANFILL & KIRK 25 Natchez Trace Dr. Lexington, TN 38351

James L. Kirby
HARRIS SHELTON DUNLAP COBB & RYDER
One Commerce Square
Ste. 2700
Memphis, TN 38103

Craig C. Conley THOMASON HENDRIX HARVEY JOHNSON & MITCHELL 40 South Main Street Ste. 2900 Memphis, TN 38103

L. Lee Harrell HARRELL & HARRELL 110 Northwest Court Sq. Trenton, TN 38382

R. Douglas HansonGLASSMAN EDWARDS WADE & WYATT, P.C.26 N. Second StreetMemphis, TN 38103

Jeffrey L. Griffin HARRIS SHELTON DUNLAP COBB & RYDER One Commerce Square Ste. 2700 Memphis, TN 38103

Milton Dale Conder RAINEY KIZER REVIERE & BELL 105 S. Highland Ave. P.O. Box 1147 Jackson, TN 38302--114

Larry S. Melton 116 Hidden Valley Cove Lexington, TN 38351

William F. Burns WATSON BURNS, LLC One Commerce Square Ste. 2600 Memphis, TN 38103

John D. Burleson RAINEY KIZER REVIERE & BELL 105 S. Highland Avenue Jackson, TN 38302--114

Jeffrey L. Griffin HARRIS SHELTON DUNLAP COBB & RYDER 2700 One Commerce Square Memphis, TN 38103

Richard Glassman GLASSMAN EDWARDS WADE & WYATT, P.C. 26 N. Second Street Memphis, TN 38103

Tim Edwards GLASSMAN EDWARDS WADE & WYATT, P.C. 26 N. Second Street Memphis, TN 38103

Beth Maloan 83 Bonaire Circle Jackson, TN 38305

Honorable J. Breen US DISTRICT COURT